

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,181	08/02/2001	Mark J. Chiapetta	09945-006001 / CTR-3 6104		
75	90 11/20/2002			_	
PAUL A. PYSHER Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street			EXAMINER		
			PIHULIC, DANIEL T		
Boston, MA 02110-2804			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3662		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A 11 1/1 1/1 1					
. 47	Application No. Applicant(s)		X.					
	09/921,18	1	CHIAPETTA, MARK J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Daniel Pih		3662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no ever within the statu will apply and will cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	⊭х раπе Qι	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215.					
4) Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	equirement.						
Application Papers	_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT l	Rule 17.2(a)).		ige				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional ap	plication has been rec	eived.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)		4) Interview Summer	(PTO_413) Paper No/e)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	<u>& 4</u> .	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Page 1

Application Number: 09/921,181

Art Unit: 3662

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-9, 28-31, 33, 35-43 and 62-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woodward. The Woodward reference discloses the utilization of means to discriminate an echo from a transducer's ring-down as recited in the aforementioned claims.
- 4. Claims 10-14, 32, 34, 44-48 and 71-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kabel. The Kabel reference discloses the utilization of dynamic threshold control as recited in the aforementioned claims.
- 5. Claims 15-19,44-53 and 76-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynard. The Reynard reference discloses the utilization of means to reject noise pulses as recited in the aforementioned claims.

Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

Application Number: 09/921,181

6. Claims 20-24, 54-58 and 81-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neidell. The Neidell reference discloses the utilization of multiple target

detection and analysis as recited in the aforementioned claims.

7. Claims 25-27, 59-61 and 86-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Short, III. The Short, III reference discloses the utilization of echo shape

analysis (correlation and classification) as recited in the aforementioned claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel Pihulic whose telephone number is 703-306-

4168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7

a.m. to 5 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor. Thomas Tarcza, can be reached on 703-306-4171. The fax

phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9326 for non-final responses and 703-872-9327 for after final responses. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Daniel Pihulic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662